

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/652,899	08/31/2000	Fred Alan Bishop	10655.8000	3558	
75	90 11/17/2006		EXAMINER		
John G Bisbikis McDermott Will & Emery 227 W Monroe Street			WORJLOH, JALATEE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60606-5096			3621		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_ Advisory Action	09/652,899	BISHOP ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jalatee Worjloh	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi or than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 October 2006</u>. A bithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements.</li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 ly must be filed within the time period	11.37(e)), to avoid dis od set forth in 37 CFR	smissal of the R 41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.  10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by</li> </ul>		•	
		. Jonalion for allowa	Douause.
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

atee Worjloh Ratent Examiner Art Unit: 3621

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants amended claims 2, 43,90, and 98 to include (1) issuing a challenge "to a third server and forwarding the challenge from said third server" to the user (2) receiving said response "at said third server" from the user based upon said challenge and (3) processing said response "at said thrid server" to verify the intelligent token. The newly added features were not previously considered; therefore, further search and/or consideration is required.